

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| <u> </u>                                    |               |                      |                     |                  |  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 09/458,858                                  | 12/10/1999    | JAMIN PANDANA        | 64100/111           | 9370             |  |
| 75  | 90 05/07/2002 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| MARION P METELSKI ESQ                       |               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| AMSTER ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN 90 PARK AVENUE |               | 1                    | WU, XIA             | WU, XIAO MIN     |  |
| NEW YORK, N                                 | NY 10016      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |               |                      | 2674                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |  | <del></del>     |
|---|--|--|-----------------|
| L. Carlotte   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | V               |
| Advisory Action   | 09/458,858   | PANDANA, JAMIN   |                 |
| navious remain  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                 |
|   | XIAO M. WU   | 2674   |                 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |                 |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ('<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe<br>examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | 1) a timely filed amendment whi  | cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in                             | ed              |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |                 |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv   |  | e final rejection, whichever is later. In  | no              |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                     | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                                |                 |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>d statutory period for reply originally set in | efee. The appropriate extension fee un<br>the final Office action; or (2) as set for | ınder<br>rth in |
| <ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'<br/>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>   |  |  |                 |
| 2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b  | ecause:  |  |                 |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth  | er consideration and/or search (   | (see NOTE below);  |                 |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);  |  |                 |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by mat   | erially reducing or simplifying  | j the           |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:  | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected claims.   |                 |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):   |  |                 |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed amendn  | nent            |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: the condition for allowance because:   |  |  | the             |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be<br>raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were newly   |                 |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen<br/>explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li> </ol>  |  |  |                 |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | :  |  |                 |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |                 |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |                 |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |  |                 |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |                 |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) approved or b) disap  | proved by the Examiner.  |                 |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | ·  |                 |
| 0.  |  | In Wh  |                 |
|   |  | XIAO M. WU   |                 |
|   |  | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2674  |                 |
| Patent and Trademark Office   |  | 7.11 Olin. 2017  |                 |